Network Working Group Request for Comments: 2794 Updates: 2290 Category: Standards Track

P. Calhoun Sun Microsystems Laboratories C. Perkins Nokia Research Center March 2000

Mobile IP Network Access Identifier Extension for IPv4

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

AAA servers are in use within the Internet today to provide authentication and authorization services for dial-up computers. Such services are likely to be equally valuable for mobile nodes using Mobile IP when the nodes are attempting to connect to foreign domains with AAA servers. AAA servers today identify clients by using the Network Access Identifier (NAI). Our proposal defines a way for the mobile node to identify itself, by including the NAI along with the Mobile IP Registration Request. This memo also updates RFC 2290 which specifies the Mobile-IPv4 Configuration option for IPCP, by allowing the Mobile Node's Home Address field of this option to be zero.

1. Introduction

AAA servers are in use within the Internet today to provide authentication and authorization services for dial-up computers. Such services are likely to be equally valuable for mobile nodes using Mobile IP when the nodes are attempting to connect to foreign domains with AAA servers. AAA servers today identify clients by using the Network Access Identifier (NAI) [1]. This document specifies the Mobile Node NAI extension to the Mobile IP Registration Request [7] message from the mobile node.

Since the NAI is typically used to uniquely identify the mobile node, the mobile node's home address is not always necessary to provide that function. Thus, it is possible for a mobile node to authenticate itself, and be authorized for connection to the foreign domain, without even having a home address. A message containing the Mobile Node NAI extension MAY set the Home Address field to zero (0) in the Registration Request, to request that a home address be assigned.

The "Mobile-IPv4 Configuration" option to IPCP has been specified in RFC 2290 [8] for proper interaction between a mobile node and a peer, through which the mobile node connects to the network using PPP. According to that specification the Mobile Node's Home Address field of the option MUST not be zero. However, in the context of this memo which allows a mobile node to be identified by its NAI and to obtain an address after the PPP phase of connection establishment, the Home Address field is allowed to be zero while maintaining all other aspects of RFC 2290. Interpretation of various scenarios from RFC 2290 is given in section 4.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [3].

2. Mobile Node NAI Extension

The Mobile Node NAI extension, shown in figure 1, contains the user name following the format defined in [1]. When it is present in the Registration Request, the Home Address field MAY be set to zero (0). The Mobile Node NAI extension MUST appear in the Registration Request before both the Mobile-Home Authentication extension and Mobile-Foreign Authentication extension, if present.

Calhoun & Perkins Standards Track

[Page 2]

0	1	2	3	
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	5678901	
+-				
Туре	Length	MN-NAI		
+-				

Figure 1: The Mobile Node NAI Extension

Туре	131 (skippable) [7]
Length	The length in bytes of the MN-NAI field
MN-NAI	A string in the NAI format defined in [1].

3. Foreign Agent Considerations

If Home Address is zero in the Registration Request, the foreign agent MUST use the NAI instead in its pending registration request records, along with the Identification field as usual. If the foreign agent cannot manage pending registration request records in this way, it MUST return a Registration Reply with Code indicating NONZERO_HOMEADDR_REQD (see section 5).

If the mobile node includes the Mobile Node NAI extension in its Registration Request, then the Registration Reply from the home agent MUST include the Mobile Node NAI extension. If not, the foreign agent SHOULD send the Registration Reply to the mobile node, changing the Code to the value MISSING_NAI (see section 5). The Registration Reply MUST include a nonzero Home Agent address and mobile node's Home Address. If not, the foreign agent SHOULD send the Registration Reply to the mobile node, changing the Code to the value MISSING_HOME_AGENT or MISSING_HOMEADDR, respectively (see section 5).

4. Interactions with Mobile-IPv4 Configuration Option to IPCP

In the Mobile-IPv4 Configuration Option to IPCP [8], the Mobile Node's Home Address field may be zero. In this section, we specify the action to be taken in that case, when the mobile node is using the Mobile Node NAI extension in the Mobile IP Registration Request. Whether or not the IP Address Configuration Option contains a nonzero IP address, the mobile node will subsequently attempt to obtain a home address from the Mobile IP Registration Reply.

If the IP Address Configuration Option to IPCP has IP address equal to zero, the PPP peer is expected to allocate and assign a co-located care-of address to the Mobile Node. If, on the other hand, the IP

Calhoun & Perkins Standards Track [Page 3]

Address Configuration Option to IPCP has a nonzero IP address, the PPP peer is expected to assign that address to the Mobile Node as its co-located care-of address.

Finally, if the IP Address Configuration Option is left out of the IPCP Configure-Request, then no co-located care of address is assigned during IPCP. The mobile node will acquire a co-located care of address during a later stage of configuration or will use an FA-located care-of address.

5. Error Values

Each entry in the following table contains the name and value for the Code [7] to be returned in a Registration Reply, and the section in which the error Code is first mentioned in this specification.

Error Name	Value	Section of Document
NONZERO_HOMEADDR_REQD	96	3
MISSING_NAI	97	3
MISSING_HOME_AGENT	98	3
MISSING_HOMEADDR	99	3

6. IANA Considerations

The Mobile Node NAI extension defined in Section 2 is a Mobile IP registration extension as defined in RFC 2002 [7] and extended in RFC 2356 [6]. IANA should assign a value of 131 for this purpose.

The Code values defined in Section 5 are error codes as defined in RFC 2002 and extended in RFC 2344 [5] and RFC 2356 [6]. They correspond to error values conventionally associated with rejection by the foreign agent (i.e., values from the range 64-127). IANA should record the values as defined in Section 5.

7. Security Considerations

Mobile IP registration messages are authenticated, and the authentication verified by the recipient. This proposal does not prohibit the mobile node from sending its NAI in the clear over the network, but that is not expected to be a security issue.

8. IPv6 Considerations

Supporting NAI-based registrations for Mobile IPv6 [4] is outside the scope of this document. This section contains some ideas how Stateless Address Autoconfiguration [9] and DHCPv6 [2] might be extended to support NAI-based Mobile IPv6 registrations.

Calhoun & Perkins Standards Track [Page 4]

[Page 5]

For mobile nodes using IPv6, there are no commonly deployed mechanisms by which a mobile node may present its credentials, such as exist today with IPv4. Nevertheless, a mobile node using IPv6 mobility may wish to specify the domain in which their credentials may be checked, by using a NAI just as this specification proposes for IPv4. In the case of IPv6, however, there is no foreign agent in place to manage the connectivity of the mobile node, and thus to manage the verification of the credentials offered by the mobile node. To identify the HDAF (see appendix A) that has the expected relationship with the mobile node, the NAI would have to be forwarded to a local AAA by the local agent involved with configuring the care-of address of the mobile node.

This agent can either be a router sending out Router Advertisements [9], or a DHCPv6 server. In the former case, the router could signal its ability to handle the NAI by attaching some yet to be defined option to the Router Advertisement. In the latter case, for managed links, the mobile node could include a yet to be defined NAI extension in its DHCP Solicitation message. Such an NAI extension and appropriate authentication would also be required on the subsequent DHCP Request sent by the mobile node to the DHCP Server selected on the basis of received DHCP Advertisements. Once a care-of address on the foreign network has been obtained, the mobile node can use regular MIPv6 [4].

9. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Gabriel Montenegro and Vipul Gupta for their useful discussions. Thanks to Basaravaj Patil and Pete McCann for text describing actions to be taken when the home address is zero but the mobile node wishes to use the Mobile-IPv4 Configuration Option to IPCP defined in RFC 2290.

References

- [1] Aboba, B. and M. Beadles, "The Network Access Identifier", RFC 2486, January 1999.
- [2] Bound, J. and C. Perkins, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", Work in Progress.
- [3] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [4] Johnson, D. and C. Perkins "Mobility Support in IPv6", Work in Progress.

Calhoun & Perkins Standards Track

- [5] Montenegro, G., "Reverse Tunneling for Mobile IP", RFC 2344, May 1998.
- [6] Montenegro, G. and V. Gupta, "Sun's SKIP Firewall Traversal for Mobile IP", RFC 2356, June 1998.
- [7] Perkins, C., "IP Mobility Support", RFC 2002, October 1996.
- [8] Solomon, J. and S. Glass, "Mobile-IPv4 Configuration Option for PPP IPCP", RFC 2290, February 1998.
- [9] Thomson, S. and T. Narten, "IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration", RFC 2462, December 1998.

RFC 2794

A. Home Domain Allocation Function (HDAF)

This appendix introduces a new function named the Home Domain Allocation Function (HDAF) that can dynamically assign a Home Address to the mobile node.

Figure 2 illustrates the Home HDAF, which receives messages from foreign agents (e.g., FA) and assigns a Home Address within the Home Domain. The HDAF does not perform any Mobile IP processing on the Registration Request, but simply forwards the request to a Home Agent (HA) within the network that is able to handle the request.



Figure 2: Home Domain Allocator Function (HDAF)

Upon receipt of the Registration Request from the mobile node (MN), FA extracts the NAI and finds the domain name associated with it. FA then finds the HDAF that handles requests for the mobile node's domain. The discovery protocol is outside of the scope of this specification. As an example, however, FA might delegate the duty of finding a HDAF to a local AAA server. The local AAA server may also assist FA in the process of verifying the credentials of the mobile node, using protocols not specified in this document.

Calhoun & Perkins Standards Track

[Page 7]

Addresses

The working group can be contacted via the current chairs: Basavaraj Patil Nokia Corporation 6000 Connection Drive M/S M8-540 Irving, TX 75039 USA Phone: +1 972-894-6709 Fax : +1 972-894-5349 EMail: Basavaraj.Patil@nokia.com Phil Roberts Motorola 1501 West Shure Drive Arlington Heights, IL 60004 USA Phone: +1 847-632-3148 EMail: QA3445@email.mot.com Questions about this memo can be directed to: Charles E. Perkins Nokia Research Center 313 Fairchild Drive Mountain View, California 94043 USA Phone: +1-650 625-2986 Fax: +1 650 625-2502 EMail: charliep@iprg.nokia.com Pat R. Calhoun Sun Microsystems Laboratories 15 Network Circle Menlo Park, California 94025 USA Phone: +1 650-786-7733 +1 650-786-6445 Fax: EMail: pcalhoun@eng.sun.com

Calhoun & Perkins Standards Track [Page 8]

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

[Page 9]